The Impact of HR Analytics Adoption on Firm Return on Investment: A PSM Model Approach

Susmita Ekka*

Research Scholar, School of Management studies, University of Hyderabad, India.

Punam Singh

Assistant Professor, School of Management studies, University of Hyderabad, India.

Priyadarashi Ranjan

Research Scholar, School of Management studies, University of Hyderabad, India.

Abstract

Human resource analytics (HRA), adopted by firms in India and across the globe, is forecasted to increase at a decent pace, as reported by various market research firms. However, few studies have explained that mixed benefits may be due to differences in company HR practices. This research paper studies HR adoption at the firm level in the Indian context. Data from 116 Indian BSE listed companies for the 2018-2019 period is investigated using propensity score matching (PSM) followed by linear regression analysis. The result reveals that HR analytics firms have a higher return on investment. Our findings will prove helpful to firms who wish to adopt HRA practices.

Keywords: HR Analytics, Return of Investment (ROI), Propensity Score Matching

JEL Classification: M15, M21, O33

^{*} Correspondence to: School of Management Studies, University of Hyderabad, CUC, CR Rao Road, Gachibowli, Hyderabad 500046. Email: 17mbph10@uohyd.ac.in.

1. Introduction

Research shows that over 60% of top management executives believe that the human resource department impacts business performance (Deloitte, 2016). Thus, the HR department can be a competitive advantage provider. The question arises how can HR provide a competitive advantage? The general psychology of any organization is that they will gain a competitive advantage by hiring the best possible talent (Nishii, 2008); however, this belief does not hold much ground considering that it's impossible to recruit such talent at a reasonable cost. However, the best development HR analytics approach to the integrated HR function, including recruiting, training and development, succession planning, retention, engagement, compensation, and benefits, will help to improve people-related decisions and enhance individual and organizational performance (Mishra et al., 2016). In HR analytics, empirical research is insufficient, and only 16% of the organisations have reported using HR analytics. There is limited scientific evidence to favor the adoption of HR analytics (Marler & Boudreau, 2017; Ban-Gal, 2019).

HR analytics is a strategic investment decision taken by an organisation to empower HR professionals and unlock valuable insights to drive intelligent business decisions. Therefore, it is critical to succeed in the dynamic business environment, shape business outcomes, and achieve goals (Ahmad, 2020). It is observed that while more and more organisations are showing interest in HR analytics, they have little research support to guide the adoption and unlock value. Most of the studies are based on a single organisation or are case-specific, thereby limiting the generalization of results. Despite the acceptance of HR analytics, scientific studies on the subject are limited (Ban-Gal, 2019; Kremer, 2018; Van Heuvel & Bondarouk, 2016). Extant research tests an association between HR analytics and business outcomes (Marler and Boudreau, 2017). However, it is still complicated for organizations to decide whether HR analytics adoption will give a higher return on investment or directly impact the business outcome.

Quantitative and qualitative measurement of HR analytics adoption outcomes can assist organizations in meeting their business goals (Fitz-Enz & John Mattox, 2014). HR analytics adoption in organisations is the decision to invest in information technology (IT) and human capital (Aral et al., 2012). Dewan & Kraemer (2000) and Pohjola (2001) suggest that IT investments in developing countries have an insignificant impact on productivity benefits. However, these investments have increased considerably in developing countries such as India. Drábek et al. (2017) suggest that investment in HR capital is a crucial factor for the development of an organisation. Brynjolfsson et al. (2002) indicate that investment of one rupee in IT is associated with ten rupees of market value, whereas the rest is attributed to complementary business investment. Marler and Boudreau (2017) analyse 14 existing studies and find only one study provided empirical evidence linking HR analytics and company performance. This empirical result indicates that a business has to spend money on human capital development and IT to maximize the return on investment. Benefits of investing in human capital development include increased employee satisfaction, retention ratio, employee engagement, client engagement, organisational communication, and a more credible company culture (Jeanetta, 2019). In recent times, HR analytics has gained significant attention from scholars and researchers to capture the factors that determine the organizational level of HR analytics adoption. This paper studies the determinants of HR analytics adoption scientifically at the organisation level using propensity score matching, followed by ordinary least square (OLS) regression. This scientific study will help organisations in strategic decision-making for investment in adopting HR analytics.

2. Literature Review

2.1 HR Analytics and Return on Investment

The integration of information technology using data in the area of HR is referred to as HR analytics, people analytics, and workforce analytics. These terms are interchangeably used for HR analytics (Van Heuvel & Bondarouk, 2017). HR analytics has become a popular instrument for successfully using data in the HR department to predict investment return and gain a comparative advantage (Boudreau & Ramstad, 2005). Even HR professionals perceive HR analytics as a tool that enables data-driven decision-making to achieve successful business outcomes (Jones, 2014). Marler and Boudreau (2017) define HR analytics as "an HR practice enabled by information technology (IT) that uses descriptive, visual, and statistical analyses of data related to HR processes, human capital, organizational performance, and external economic benchmarks to establish business impact and enable data-driven decision-making." This implies that HR analytics plays a crucial role in enabling an organisation to maintain a competitive advantage. Even the rising interest in HR analytics shows limited scientific evidence to favour its adoption (Rasmussen & Ulrich, 2015; Nair, 2018). HR analytics adoption for an organisation is a strategic IT investment decision. Ravichandran et al. (2009) suggest that while deciding on IT investment, the decision-makers must analyse factors like the company's financial condition, IT spending of competitors, and firm image, apart from the economic benefits. Thus, we hypothesize that adopting HR analytics would have a higher return on investment.

Hypothesis: HR analytics adoption in organisations is associated with a higher return on investment.

2.2 Research Objective

The present study has been conducted with the objective of providing scientific evidence to an organisation to consider whether HR analytics adoption would provide the necessary competitive advantage. Second, it compares any actual difference in return on investment (ROI) between organizations that have and have not adopted HR analytics.

3. Data and Research Design

This research paper examines the hypothesized relationship using the Indian firms listed in the BSE over the period of 2018 to 2019. Because in the past five years, a nearly 80% rise in HR analytics professionals in India was the highest growth in the Asia-Pacific region (Linkedin, 2018) & (Ians, 2018). However, the statistical data shows that only about 2% of public listed companies have opted for HR analytics practices. The reason to select the financial year 2018-19 is to capture the adequate treatment difference in economic outcomes on organisations. The difference will help the new organisations make strategic decisions on HR analytics adoption and how it affects organisational performance.

The data is obtained from the Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy Pvt. Ltd (CMIE) Prowess database has 4754 listed firms in the BSE for the financial year 2018-2019, 2754 of which

have complete data for all the required variables used in this study. The data is then analysed using Propensity score matching to test for evidence on the causal relationship between HR analytics adoption and return on investment.

Propensity score matching (PSM) allows matching firms that have adopted HR analytics to those that have not done so. The matching is done to observe the company characterises balance between these two groups. The difference in return on investment between firms with HR analytics and the match comparison group is the impact of HR analytics adoption.

3.1 Modeling: PSM

Ravichandran et al. (2009) suggested that adopting HR analytics is a strategic decision in HR capital and IT investment because decision-makers analyse and discuss the organisational financial conditions apart from the economic benefits. Therefore, financial considerations are important when deciding on HR analytics adoption. This study uses the propensity score matching method (Heckman & Robb, 1986; Heckman, Ichimura, & Todd, 1998) to determine the causal relationship between HR analytics adoption and return on investment. This is because an organisation's HR analytics adoption is endogenous, and its financial condition can influence both HR analytics adoption and return on investment.

We estimate the firm's propensity to adopt HR analytics on listed BSE firms by estimating the average effect of treatment using three steps. Firstly, the probability of HR analytics adoption is calculated, giving each firm a balancing score. Secondly, produced balance scores are used to find a perfect comparison group from 2696 firms that did not adopt HR analytics. The mean difference in return on investment between HR analytics adopted firm and comparison group. Lastly, the regression of the return on investment on covariates is done to find a matched sample's treatment effect.

Balance scores are calculated using the logistic regression model of probability of HR analytics adoption P(A) as a function of the control variable (Xi) that consists of the investment criteria (Payout ratio), firm size (log of employee number), employee productivity (employee utilisation rate) and industry (dummy variable for each industry classified based on National Industrial Classification).

$$P(A = 1/Xi) = 1/(1 + e^{\wedge} - (\beta o + \beta iXi)).$$

Based on past studies, covariates included in the balance score model are selected. It is found that HR analytics adoption and investment decisions are significantly associated with payout ratio, firm size, employee utilization rate, and industry (Husna & Satria, 2019; Slim, 2019; Madhavi & Siva, 2016; Raffiee & Byun, 2020; Witte, 2016).

The payout ratio, in particular, is found to be an important factor in the investment decision. Husna & Satria (2019) suggest that the payout ratio is negatively correlated with investment, implying that the higher the payout ratio, the lower the investment, and the lower the payout ratio, the higher the investment. As HR analytics adoption is a strategic investment decision, companies with a lower payout ratio are likely to invest more.

Firm size is another factor highly associated with HR analytics adoption; Sierra-Cedar (2016) finds that large and mid-sized firms are more likely to invest in HR analytics adoption. Slim (2019) finds that HR analytics adoption is associated with firm size. The larger the firm, the more likely it to adopt HR analytics.

Regarding human resource management, employee utilisation rate helps in forecasting, resource optimization, and other HR functions. Madhavi & Shiva (2016) state that measurement of HR analytics improves employee utilisation, which is related to human resources complementarity (Raffiee & Byun, 2020). Firms adopting HR analytics are more likely to have complementarity.

Markets research reports by Sierra-Cedar and Deloitte have found that the adoption of HR analytics greatly influences the industry. Financial services, high-tech and retails, and wholesale businesses show the highest adoption rate; the lowest is in the case of agriculture, mining, and construction. Witte (2016) also finds that HR analytics adoption is associated with the type of industry.

We use the nearest neighbourhood matching method to identify the organisations showing an equal propensity to adopt HR analytics. Using the nearest neighbouring method of Propensity score matching showed 58 matches (control-treated), that is, 58 firms that have not adopted HR analytics, and 58 firms (treated firm) that have adopted them. So, we had a total of 116 matched firms from the total of 2754 firms considered for the study. The sample is not affected by selection bias to analyse the relationship between return on investment and HR analytics propensity adoption.

After arriving at the 116 matched firm number, we use the following empirical specification to estimate the average treatment effect on treated (ATT):

$$R_i = \alpha + \beta A_i + \gamma X_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where R_i is the dependent variable which refers to the firm's return on investment using two indicators, that is, return on capital employed (ROCE) and return on asset (ROA). The variable A_i is the treatment variable of HR analytics adoption, while X_i is a vector of firm-level control variables, such as the number of employees (EMP), debt-equity ratio (DER), firm age (AGE), square of firm age (AGES), payout ratio (PR), and employee utilization rate (EUR). ϵ_i is a normally distributed mean-zero error term.

4. Results

4.1 Statistical Summary

Summary statistics indicate that HR analytics firms (N=58) and all the potential comparison firms (N=2696) are unbalanced in every covariate used in the logistic regression to estimate the balance score (Table 1). The organisation with HR analytics tends to have a greater payout ratio, the number of employees, firm age, and less employee utilisation rate than the organisation that has not adopted HR analytics. The differences are significant at the level of 5%. HR analytics-adopted firms have higher returns on investment than firms not opted for HR analytics in the full sample, mainly attributable to greater gross profit and less debt-equity ratio.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Propensity Score Matching, Full Sample Before Matching

		Firms with HR Analytics (N=58)				Firms Without HR Analytics (N=2696)				_			
Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max	Mean Difference
Outcomes	Variable												
ROCE	0.04	0.135	-1.696	0.94	0.129	0.165	0.202	0.834	0.038	0.133	-1.696	0.94	0.091 ***
ROA	0.029	0.094	-1.189	0.79	0.083	0.097	0.146	0.342	0.028	0.093	-1.189	0.79	0.055 ***
Control V	ariable												
PR	0.084	0.499	-7.609	15.385	0.279	1.133	0.842	8.527	0.08	0.476	-7.609	15.385	0.199 *
DER	1.256	7.451	0	286.56	0.965	2.396	0	14.47	1.262	7.523	0	286.56	0.297 ***
GP	0.313	0.824	30.45	3.582	0.539	0.247	0.081	0.993	0.308	0.831	30.45	3.582	0.231 ***
AGE	35.37	19.666	2	156	42.53	28.527	7	117	35.21	19.409	2	156	7.32 **
AGES	1637.3	2186.84	4	24336	2608.91	3370.23	49	13689	1616.4	2150.39	4	24336	992.516 **
EMP	2.252	1.103	0.301	5.652	3.867	0.947	1.079	5.652	2.218	1.08	0.301	5.412	1.649 ***
EUR	32.279	170.348	-4.74	6218.69	12.947	13.919	1.61	70.35	32.695	172.136	-4.74	6218.69	19.748 ***
EURS	30049.93	787633.03	0	38672105.32	358	854.19	2.59	4949.12	30688.7	796051.18	0	38672105.32	30330.7 **

*Statistically significant difference *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1 (Firm with and without Hr analytics before Propensity Score Match)

After matching, we balance all covariates between the treatment group using the nearest neighbour matching (Table 2). Firms in the matched sample have significantly higher returns on investment, gross profit, number of employees, firm age, and lesser debt-equity ratio than the entire sample. This is expected since firms adopt HR analytics with higher wealth and less debt than the whole sample. Thus, to match HR analytics firms with comparable control firms, the match samples must be the same as those of HR analytics firms. The result in Table 2 shows an insignificant difference between the control variable and the balanced mean.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for Propensity Score Matching, Matched Sample

	Matched Sample (N=116)				Firms with HR Analytics (N=58)				Firms Without HR Analytics (N=58)				
Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max	Mean Difference
Outcomes	Variable												
ROCE	0.1	0.143	-0.424	0.834	0.129	0.165	-0.202	0.834	0.071	0.11	-0.424	0.336	0.058 **
ROA	0.067	0.083	-0.146	0.342	0.083	0.097	-0.146	0.342	0.052	0.062	-0.035	0.268	0.031 **
Control V	ariable												
PR	0.406	1.696	-0.842	15.385	0.279	1.133	-0.842	8.527	0.532	2.118	-0.524	15.385	0.253
DER	0.878	1.95	0	14.47	0.965	2.396	0	14.47	0.791	1.385	0	9.37	0.174
GP	0.516	0.263	0.081	0.993	0.539	0.247	0.081	0.993	0.308	0.831	-30.45	3.582	231
AGE	42.09	28.131	5	117	42.53	28.527	7	117	41.64	27.971	5	113	0.89
AGES	2555.76	3366.09	25	13689	2608.91	3370.23	49	13689	2502.6	3390.53	25	12769	106.31
EMP	3.796	0.918	1.079	5.652	3.867	0.947	1.079	5.652	3.725	0.892	1.613	5.412	0.142
EUR	12.758	14.164	1.61	92.94	12.947	13.919	1.61	70.35	12.57	14.525	1.61	92.94	0.377
EURS	361.67	1037.44	2.59	8637.84	358	854.19	2.59	4949.12	365.33	1200.74	2.59	8637.84	7.33
Industry													

*Statistically significant difference *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1 (Firm with and without Hr analytics after Propensity Score Match)

Balancing test score indicates that the matched sample is similar between HR analytics firms and the control group (Without HR analytics) firms. The balancing test includes all variables used to estimate the propensity score (Payout ratio, number of employees, employee utilisation rate, and industry as a dummy) and some additional variables (Debt equity ratio, Gross profit Ratio, and Firm age). Return on investment indicators differ significantly between HR analytics firms and the

control group firms, and all control variables achieve balance with an insignificant difference. Therefore, the selected control group seems to be suitable. This result shows that the average treatment effect on treated (ATT) estimates provides a credible measure of the causal effect that HR analytics adoption has on investment return.

4.2 Causal Relationship Evidence

The average treatment effect (ATT) is estimated using regression analytics on the matched sample. The result suggests a causal relationship between HR analytics adoption and returns on investment. HR analytics adoption enables a firm to increase return on investment by an average of 6.2% return on capital employed and 3.2 % return on the assets, as reported in Table 3. It should be noted that these increments are in relation to HR analytics firms rather than without HR analytics firms.

	_		, ,				
	RO	OA .	ROCE				
	Coefficient	Std. Error	Coefficient	Std. Error			
HR Analytics Adoption (Dummy)	0.033**	-0.014	0.062**	-0.024			
Number of Employee	0.022***	-0.008	0.027**	-0.014			
Debt Equity Ratio	-0.014***	-0.004	-0.022***	-0.007			
Firm Age	0.000	-0.001	0.002	-0.002			
Firm Age Square	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Gross Profit Ratio	-0.042	-0.027	-0.082*	-0.048			
Payout Ratio	-0.001	0.004	-0.002	0.007			
Employee utilisation rate	0.001**	0.001	0.001	0.001			
Constant	0.014	-0.041	0.021	-0.072			
Observations	116		116				
R-squared	0.276		0.238				

Table 3. Estimation of Average Treatment Effect on Treated (ATT)

5. Conclusion

In the current dynamic business world, a business organisation is more oriented toward optimum resource utilisation to gain a competitive advantage. Human resources add crucial value to any organization. There is, therefore, a need to ensure optimum human resource utilization. Thus, several organizations adopt the recent HR analytics approach, an evidence-based approach, to drive the best HR decision-making to fuel organizational growth and give it the much-needed return on investment on its HR technology. This study scientifically examines the impact of HR analytics adoption on return on investment in Indian-listed firms. Our results suggest that the adoption of HR analytics contributes to return on investment. This finding aligns with existing studies showing a link between HR analytics adoption and company performance (Ben-Gal, 2019; Marler & Boudreau, 2017). However, most studies do not articulate a clear connection between HR analytics adoption and return on investment. Our findings suggest that HR analytics adoption significantly affects return on investment. Organisations with HR analytics have a significantly higher return on investment than those without.

^{*}Statistically significant *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Overall, our results present some implications for HR investment decisions. Statistical data shows that only about 2% of public listed companies have opted for HR analytics practices. Our findings suggest that HR analytics adoption is a viable approach to achieving competitive advantage through investment return. The push toward HR analytics adoption in India is thus, a step in right direction.

References

- Aral, S., E. Brynjolfsson, and L. Wu, (2012), "Three-way complementarities: Performance pay, human resource analytics, and information technology," *Management Science*, 58(5), 913-931.
- Artz, B., (2008), "The role of firm size and performance pay in determining employee job satisfaction brief: firm size, performance pay, and job satisfaction," *Labour*, 22(2), 315-343.
- Ben-Gal, H. C., (2019), "An ROI-based review of HR analytics: practical implementation tools," *Personnel Review*.
- Boudreau, J. W., and P. M. Ramstad, (2005), "Talentship, talent segmentation, and sustainability: A new HR decision science paradigm for a new strategydefinition," *Human Resource Management: Published in Cooperation with the School of Business Administration, The University of Michigan and in alliance with the Society of Human Resources Management*, 44(2), 129-136.
- Brynjolfsson, E., L. M. Hitt, and S. Yang, (2002), "Intangible assets: Computers and organizational capital," *Brookings papers on economic activity*, 2002(1), 137-181.
- Dewan, S., and K. L. Kraemer, (2000), "Information technology and productivity: evidence from country-level data," *Management Science*, 46(4), 548-562.
- Drábek, J., S. Lorincová, and J. Javorčíková, (2017), "Investing in Human Capital as a Key Factor for the Development of Enterprises," *Issues of Human Resource Management*, 113-136.
- Fitz-Enz, J., and I. I. John Mattox, (2014), "Predictive analytics for human resources," John Wiley and Sons.
- Gefen, C., (2019), "HR Analytics Market-Growth, Size, Share, Forecast, Industry Analysis 2019-2027."
- Global Human Capital Trends, (2016), "The new organization: Different by design," Deloitte university press.
- Heckman, J. J., and R. Robb, (1986), "Alternative methods for solving the problem of selection bias in evaluating the impact of treatments on outcomes," In *Drawing inferences from self-selected samples*, Springer, New York, NY., 63-107
- Heckman, J. J., H. Ichimura, and P. Todd, (1998), "Matching as an econometric evaluation estimator," *The review of economic studies*, 65(2), 261-294.
- Husna, A., and I. Satria, (2019), "Effects of Return on Asset, Debt to Asset Ratio, Current Ratio, Firm Size, and Dividend Payout Ratio on Firm Value," *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 9(5), 50.
- Ians., (2018), "Nearly 80% rise in HR analytics professionals in India," New Delhi: Business standarad.
- Jones, K., (2014), "Conquering HR Analytics: Do you need a rocket scientist or a crystal ball," *Workforce Solutions Review*, 5(1), 43-44.
- Kremer, K., (2018), "HR analytics and its moderating factors," *Vezetéstudomány-Budapest Management Review*, 49(11), 62-68.

- Linkedin., (2018), "The Rise of Analytics in HR: An Era of Talent Intelligence."
- Madhavi Lakshmi, P., and P. Siva Pratap, (2016), "HR Analytics-a Strategic Approach to HR Effectiveness," *International Journal of Human Resource Management and Research* (*IJHRMR*), ISSN (P), 2249-6874.
- Marler, J. H., and J. W. Boudreau, (2017), "An evidence-based review of HR Analytics," *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(1), 3-26.
- Mastufa Ahmed, (2020), "Workforce analytics is a strategic area of investment for HR leaders."
- Mishra, S. N., D. R. Lama, and Y. Pal, (2016), "Human Resource Predictive Analytics (HRPA) for HR management in organizations," *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 5(5), 33-35.
- Nair, M., (2018), "Current status of analytics in HR: Evidence based review," SCMS Journal of Indian Management, 15(2), 23-30.
- Nishii, L. H., D. P. Lepak, and B. Schneider, (2008), "Employee attributions of the "why" of HR practices: Their effects on employee attitudes and behaviors, and customer satisfaction," *Personnel psychology*, 61(3), 503-545.
- Pohjola, M., (2000), "Information technology and economic growth," World Institute for Development Economic Research.
- Raffiee, J., and H. Byun, (2020), "Revisiting the portability of performance paradox: Employee mobility and the utilization of human and social capital resources," *Academy of Management Journal*, 63(1), 34-63.
- Rasmussen, T., and D. Ulrich, (2015), "Learning from practice: how HR analytics avoids being a management fad," *Organizational Dynamics*, 44(3), 236-242.
- Ravichandran, T., S. Han, and I. Hasan, (2009), "Effects of institutional pressures on information technology investments: An empirical investigation," *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 56(4), 677-691.
- Slim, M. G., (2019), "Human resources analytics adoption factors in Lebanon."
- Tony Jeanetta, (2019), "Benefits of Investing in Your Human Capital Development."
- Van Den Heuvel, S., and T. Bondarouk, (2016), "The rise (and fall?) of HR analytics: The future application, value, structure, and system support," In *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 1, 10908
- Van Den Heuvel, S., and T. Bondarouk, (2017), "The rise (and fall?) of HR analytics," *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*.
- Witte, L., (2016), "We have HR analytics! So what?: an exploratory study into the impact of HR analytics on strategic HRM," Master's thesis, University of Twente.